

KING CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Board of Supervisors

Meeting Minutes

November 9, 2005

1 **Supervisors Present:** Max Prinsen, Scott Wallace, Matt Livengood, Richard Gelb, Bobbi  
2 Lindemulder

3 **Associate Supervisors Present:** none

4 **Guests Present:** Eric Frimodt, Sara Hemphill, Janice Mathison, Doug Osterman, Kit Ledbetter,  
5 Jean White, Mary Jorgenson

6 **Staff Present:** Geoff Reed, Brandy Reed, Marla Hamilton Lucas, Roseanne Campagna

7 **NRCS Staff Present:** Clare Flanagan

8 Chairman Prinsen called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm. Introductions were made.

9 **Wallace Moved, Livengood Seconded; Passed Unanimously a motion to accept the October**  
10 **2005 meeting minutes with minor changes.**

11 Campagna presented the Envirothon update to the board and said the contract was ready and the  
12 site on Camp Sealth has been reserved.

13 The non competitive grant applications were reviewed. The Green Duwamish /CPS Watershed  
14 Forum applications were first.

15 B. Reed presented the 2006 Beach Naturalist program was discussed and introduced Janice  
16 Mathison who briefed the board on the on going program and activities. She distributed photos  
17 and evaluation cards that the program participants provided.

18 **Gelb Moved, Livengood Seconded; Passed a motion to approve the Green-Duwamish-CPS**  
19 **Watershed Forum non-competitive grant application for \$10,000 from 2005 Assessments**  
20 **for the Seattle Aquarium 2006 Beach Naturalist Program.**

21 The King County Capital Projects and Funding Implementation Project was presented and B.  
22 Reed introduced Doug Osterman to speak to the project. He briefly outlined the WRIA 9 salmon  
23 recovery plan that has been completed. Osterman said that implementation of over 50 projects  
24 for the watershed is the next step and this request is the first step to obtaining the needed funds  
25 which amounts to over 200 million dollars. Gelb asked why this wasn't already done as part of  
26 the planning process. Osterman explained that WRIA 9 had been working on leveraging funds.  
27 Discussion ensued regarding the grant request of \$115,000 and the deliverables associated with  
28 the proposed project. B. Reed asked about how many projects were ready to go by funding this  
29 proposed project. Osterman explained the time frame he was anticipating along with Shared  
30 Strategy. Linda Hanson would be the staff doing the work. B. Reed asked them to resubmit the

31 application with Board recommended changes and he agreed to do that. Action on the King  
32 County Capital Projects and Funding Implementation Project application was postponed until a  
33 new application could be reviewed.

34 Kit Ledbetter addressed the Board regarding a project he will be proposing in SeaTac. He  
35 distributed pictures regarding the proposed landscaping they have planned which includes  
36 removing hardscape (cement). The project will cost about \$20,000. Prinsen asked about  
37 stormwater runoff into the project site and Gelb asked about plant species they were planning on  
38 using. Further discussion ensued and the board said it would probably be accepted for KCD  
39 funding out of the SeaTac City assessment balance.

40 The Cedar /Lake WA watershed forum grant applications were presented.

41 The Cottage Lake Creek Aquatic and Riparian restoration project was reviewed by the Board.  
42 Mary Jorgensen, WRIA 8 funding coordinator was introduced. The Forum proposes complete  
43 restoration work along the creek including, planting, fencing and bank work. Discussion ensued  
44 on whether the district should be involved in implementation of the project and how having  
45 landowners involved in a farm plan with the district would benefit the project.

46 **Livengood Moved, Lindemulder Seconded; Passed a motion to approve the Cedar-Lake**  
47 **Washington-Sammamish Watershed Forum non-competitive grant application for \$73,750**  
48 **from 2005 Assessments for the King County Cottage Lake Creek Aquatic and Riparian**  
49 **Restoration Project.**

50 The Issaquah Creek Sycamore Area Restoration Phase 2 project for the city of Issaquah was  
51 presented for approval. Up to 1000 linear feet of creek restoration is proposed for funding. A  
52 maintenance plan for the project was discussed along with funding from Issaquah. Gelb thought  
53 the application was very thorough and well prepared.

54 **Gelb Moved, Wallace Seconded; Passed a motion to approve the Cedar-Lake Washington-**  
55 **Sammamish Watershed Forum non-competitive grant application for \$50,000 from 2005**  
56 **Assessments for the City of Issaquah, Issaquah Creek Sycamore Area Restoration PII**  
57 **Project.**

58 The Willows Business Center Stream Day-Lighting Project for the City of Redmond was  
59 reviewed. The proposal includes day lighting a segment of creek and restoration work on the  
60 adjacent banks.

61 **Lindemulder Moved, Livengood Seconded; Passed a motion to approve the Cedar-Lake**  
62 **Washington-Sammamish Watershed Forum non-competitive grant application for \$88,250**  
63 **from 2005 Assessments for the City of Redmond, Willows Business Center Stream Day**  
64 **lighting Project.**

65 The 2006 Lake Washington Ecosystem Restoration Project General Investigation Study – Micro  
66 Acoustic Tagging Project was reviewed. Jean White spoke to the board about how it is a

67 continuation of a previously funded project. She is now working at SPU and continues to be  
68 involved in the watershed. More knowledge is needed in the ship canal and locks system to  
69 ensure proper management of the fishery. Juvenile fish are tagged and tracked throughout the  
70 watershed to see in depth what habitat and migration routes they use. Discussion ensued on the  
71 match, costs and budget associated with the project.

72 **Wallace Moved, Livengood Seconded; Passed Unanimously a motion to approve the**  
73 **Cedar-Lake Washington-Sammamish Watershed Forum non-competitive grant**  
74 **application for \$200,000 from 2005 Assessments for the City of Seattle Lake Washington**  
75 **Ecosystem Restoration Project General Investigation Study Micro-acoustic Tagging Project.**

76 The 2006 Seattle Aquarium Beach Naturalist Program was reviewed. This project covers the  
77 beaches in the North Seattle area. Mathison spoke to the success and popularity of the program  
78 and the large number of volunteers and citizens involved.

79 **Livengood Moved, Lindemulder Seconded; Passed a motion to approve the Cedar-Lake**  
80 **Washington-Sammamish Watershed Forum non-competitive grant application for \$8,500**  
81 **from 2005 Assessments for the Seattle Aquarium 2006 Beach Naturalist Program.**

82 Hamilton Lucas presented the financial report for both September and October as she was on  
83 vacation and did not attend the October board meeting. The total monthly expenses for October  
84 were reviewed by the board.

85 **Livengood Moved, Lindemulder Seconded; Passed a motion to approve the October**  
86 **Monthly expenses, Check Numbers 8805-8865 in the amount of \$ 195,187.16**

87 The budget and tracking report and balance sheet for October was distributed. The District  
88 received payment from King County for the CAO work. Staffing costs are less than anticipated  
89 but legal expenses are higher. Rentals for equipment are higher due to project implementation.  
90 Capital outlays are lower than budgeted for a variety of reasons. The balance sheet was then  
91 reviewed and reserves continue to build. The District reserves have been reduced as a result of  
92 the Woods Creek/Shadow Lake property purchase. However, the District anticipates  
93 reimbursement in the form of an ALEA grant and Conservation Futures grant.

94 **Wallace Moved, Livengood Seconded; Passed a motion to approve the October financial**  
95 **report.**

96 Clare Flanagan arrived at 7:45 and gave the NRCS report. The Rupard dairy is being enrolled  
97 into the FPP program. 3 WHIP projects were awarded in King County. The 2006 costs lists have  
98 been updated and NRCS is seeking concurrence from the Board which chair Prinsen agreed to  
99 review and approve. About 20 applications have been received for 2006 EQIP projects. One  
100 task order is still planned to be given to the district.

101 The Board began discussion on the assessment renewal process. Eric Frimodt, District Counsel  
102 briefed everyone on progress he has been making on legal issues surrounding the assessment

103 renewal. Discussion ensued on the request for an attorney general opinion. Updates were given  
104 on various meetings and communications that have been ongoing between the District and King  
105 County regarding the assessment. A meeting with the Republican caucus has been scheduled as  
106 well as with specific council members in the next week. The board is firm in its commitment to  
107 a 4-3-2-1 budget with equal distribution among the 3 watershed forums. Discussion ensued on  
108 whether the district is still willing to negotiate with King County on the budget. Chair Prinsen  
109 asked for consensus on how much each board member is willing to compromise. Discussion  
110 turned to the public hearing scheduled for Monday and the District's participation in that  
111 hearing. R. Gelb had some talking points that he relayed to the other members for  
112 consideration. Discussion ensued regarding a strategy for the next few weeks.

113 R. Gelb reported on progress he is making on the Natural Resources Inventory Monitoring  
114 Project after a meeting with WLRD management. He also reported on the global warming  
115 conference he attended and how it relates to local agriculture.

116 WACD meeting is upcoming in November and Wallace and Lindemulder will attend with staff.  
117 G. Reed reported he submitted a resolution asking the WCC and WACD to support the Veterans  
118 Conservation Corps and work to implement this new program which was created by the 2005  
119 Legislature.

120 Lindemulder reported she is on the urban coastal committee for WACD and G. Reed offered to  
121 join the committee.

122 B. Reed distributed some info on the funding revision criteria that she has been working on with  
123 Livengood and Gelb.

124 Gelb mentioned he is still interested in contracting with SUNP for NRM work using the budget  
125 the district allocated to the project.

126 Prinsen briefed the members on progress made toward obtaining grant reimbursement for the  
127 acquisition of property adjacent to Shadow Lake.

128 B. Reed briefed the board on the status of purchasing a new District server.

129 G. Reed reported that some decisions need to be made regarding the 2006 supervisor election.  
130 A date, polling places, and times need to be set by the end of the year and reported to the WCC.  
131 Staff will make a recommendation and present it at the board for approval in December.

132 Hemphill briefed the board on the city outreach efforts and some upcoming city council  
133 meetings. She distributed a draft of a briefing paper to the AG office for board review. It will be  
134 sent to Eric Frimodt for review. Discussion ensued on how best to submit the letter.

135 **There being no more business before the board, the meeting was adjourned at 10:25 pm.**

136

137

138 \_\_\_\_\_  
Authorized Signature

\_\_\_\_\_ Date

139

140

**Summary of Motions**

141 **Wallace Moved, Livengood Seconded; Passed Unanimously a motion to accept the October**  
142 **2005 meeting minutes with minor changes.**

143 **Gelb Moved, Livengood Seconded; Passed a motion to approve the Green-Duwamish-CPS**  
144 **Watershed Forum non-competitive grant application for \$10,000 from 2005 Assessments**  
145 **for the Seattle Aquarium 2006 Beach Naturalist Program.**

146 **Livengood Moved, Lindemulder Seconded; Passed a motion to approve the Cedar-Lake**  
147 **Washington-Sammamish Watershed Forum non-competitive grant application for \$73,750**  
148 **from 2005 Assessments for the King County Cottage Lake Creek Aquatic and Riparian**  
149 **Restoration Project.**

150 **Gelb Moved, Wallace Seconded; Passed a motion to approve the Cedar-Lake Washington-**  
151 **Sammamish Watershed Forum non-competitive grant application for \$50,000 from 2005**  
152 **Assessments for the City of Issaquah, Issaquah Creek Sycamore Area Restoration PII**  
153 **Project.**

154 **Lindemulder Moved, Livengood Seconded; Passed a motion to approve the Cedar-Lake**  
155 **Washington-Sammamish Watershed Forum non-competitive grant application for \$88,250**  
156 **from 2005 Assessments for the City of Redmond, Willows Business Center Stream Day**  
157 **lighting Project.**

158 **Wallace Moved, Livengood Seconded; Passed Unanimously a motion to approve the**  
159 **Cedar-Lake Washington-Sammamish Watershed Forum non-competitive grant**  
160 **application for \$200,000 from 2005 Assessments for the City of Seattle Lake Washington**  
161 **Ecosystem Restoration Project General Investigation Study Micro-acoustic Tagging Project.**

162 **Livengood Moved, Lindemulder Seconded; Passed a motion to approve the Cedar-Lake**  
163 **Washington-Sammamish Watershed Forum non-competitive grant application for \$8,500**  
164 **from 2005 Assessments for the Seattle Aquarium 2006 Beach Naturalist Program.**

165 **Livengood Moved, Lindemulder Seconded; Passed a motion to approve the October**  
166 **Monthly expenses, Check Numbers 8805-8865 in the amount of \$ 195,187.16**

167 **Wallace Moved, Livengood Seconded; Passed a motion to approve the October financial**  
168 **report.**

169 **There being no more business before the board, the meeting was adjourned at 10:25 pm.**