

KING CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Board of Supervisors Meeting Minutes

REGULAR MEETING

September 13, 2010

APPROVED

1 **Supervisors Present:** Bill Knutsen, Board of Supervisors Chair, Bob Vos, Board of Supervisors
2 Vice-Chair, Scott Wallace, Supervisor, Max Prinsen, Board of Supervisors Auditor, Preston Drew,
3 Supervisor

4 **Associate Supervisors Present:** The District currently has no Associate Supervisors serving on
5 the board.

6 **Staff Present:** Sara Hemphill, Brandy Reed, Susan Wermus (Clerk), Marla Hamilton-Lucas,
7 Marcie Myers, Jessica Saavedra

8 **Guests Present:** Sue Rooney, Friends of the Cedar River Watershed, Charlotte Spang, Friends
9 of the Cedar River Watershed, Judith Noble, City of Seattle, Joe Starsted, City of Seattle, Mary
10 Jorgensen, WRIA 8, Elizabeth Walker, Su Thieda, Earth Corps, Hans Berge, King County, Tom
11 Beavers, King County

12 Chair Knutsen called the meeting to order at 6:05 pm. A quorum was present.

13 Introductions were made and the agenda was reviewed. Hemphill requested an action item
14 related to the District's engineering contract work be added under New Business. Vos requested
15 that a discussion item regarding native plant policy be added under New Business.

16 **Prinsen Moved; Wallace Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve the meeting**
17 **agenda. (5 ayes, 0 nays)**

18 CONSENT AGENDA:

19 Drew requested that AI 10-131 and AI 10-132 be pulled for discussion.

20 **Wallace Moved; Prinsen Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve the consent**
21 **agenda. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [Board Minutes 06-14-10, Board Minutes 08-09-10, Member**
22 **Jurisdiction and WRIA Grants AI 10-096 – AI 10-103, KCD LIP Applications AI 10-104 –**
23 **AI 10-116, Opportunity Fund Grant Applications AI 10-128 – AI 10-130 and AI 10-133 –**
24 **AI 10-135, and AI 10-095: ratification of King Conservation District Checks 13245 - 13304**
25 **in totaling \$556,323.33.]**

26 PUBLIC COMMENT:

27 None

28 PULLED CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS:

29 Wild Fish Conservancy, Weiss Creek Barrier Removal Project [AI 10-131]

30 Drew objects to the proposed cost of this project. He does not see \$30,000 of work described.
31 Vos believes this application to be a good candidate for a King CD policy change to require
32 applicants to include a more detailed project budget. He will vote for this application given that
33 it has been recommended for approval by the Grants Subcommittee.

34 **Vos Moved; Prinsen Seconded, Passed a motion to approve the KCD-Snoqualmie**
35 **Watershed Forum Opportunity Fund grant application for \$30,000 (\$15,000 from the 2010**
36 **KCD general operating budget and \$15,000 from 2010 special assessments allocated to the**
37 **WRIA 7 Watershed Forum) awarded to the Wild Fish Conservancy for the Weiss Creek**
38 **Barrier Removal Project. (4 ayes, 1 nay = Drew) [AI 10-131]**

39 Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust, YMCA Camp Terry Environmental Stewardship and
40 Habitat Restoration Project [AI 10-132]

41 Drew asked whether or not it is appropriate to put plantings on a dike. Reed explained that the
42 structure is more like a rock revetment than a dike or levee. The structure was built by the
43 landowner and is functioning like a levee but it is not a managed levee and was not installed by
44 an entity. The landowner invited Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust to the site and the
45 landowner would like to see this project happen.

46 **Drew Moved; Prinsen Seconded, Passed a motion to approve the KCD-Snoqualmie**
47 **Watershed Forum Opportunity Fund grant application for \$100,000 (\$50,000 from the**
48 **2010 KCD general operating budget and \$50,000 from 2010 special assessments allocated**
49 **to the WRIA 7 Watershed Forum) awarded to the Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust**
50 **project titled Raging River Enhancement at 3306 SE 126th Street. (4 ayes, 1 abstain = Vos)**
51 **[AI 10-132]**

52 PRESENTATIONS:

53 Sue Rooney and Charlotte Spang with the Friends of the Cedar River Watershed presented to the
54 board. First, the organization's recently produced video on the state of the watershed by high
55 school students was viewed by the board. The board provided positive and constructive
56 comments. Second, they spoke to the board regarding their application for funding the 2011
57 Cedar River Salmon Journey. Spang explained that the program trains volunteers and naturalists
58 in stewarding the Cedar River watershed and it supports public interpretation projects. The
59 program was cut from the King County budget and it engages around 7000 people each year.
60 Rooney covered the organization's program goals, current partner, its relationship with King CD
61 and WRIA 8, and the program's impact. Board comments related to definitions of natural versus
62 unnatural, inclusion of wise use of conservation resources, connecting with King CD's annual
63 plant sale, and where contributions originate for the Friends of the Cedar River Watershed.

64 **Prinsen Moved; Wallace Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve the WRIA 8**
65 **grant application for \$27,800 from 2010 Collections for the Friends of the Cedar River**
66 **Watershed 2011 Cedar River Salmon Journey project. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-124]**

67 REGULARLY SCHEDULED ITEMS:

68 Hamilton Lucas provided the Finance Manager's report. She handed out the Balance Sheet and
69 Profit and Loss reports. The restricted fund balance is currently at \$5,914,384.00 and the
70 unrestricted fund balance is currently at \$1,329,776.76. The Quickbooks consultant has been
71 very helpful with formatting new and revised financial reports. We are on track for this time of
72 year. Direct deposit is in place now.

73 Reed did not have an Implementation report.

74 Chambers has left the District so there is no Public Information Officer report.

75 Vos explained that the Grants Subcommittee does not have a report since the grant items to
76 report are on the tonight's agenda.

77 Hemphill provided the Outreach Subcommittee report. The 2009 Report of Accomplishments is
78 complete and is currently being distilled into a four page public relations document by Barry
79 Bartlett. Jason Chambers has left the District as of September 10, 2010. Jessica Paige is now
80 working $\frac{3}{4}$ time. Hemphill and Paige have taken on Chamber's projects.

81 Vos provided the Program Development, Science, and Engineering Subcommittee report. At
82 recent meeting with Joanna Richey, Clare Dyckman and others, King CD provided almost final
83 comments on ADAP.

84 Knutsen reported that he has been involved with ADAP discussions and communications. In its
85 comments, King CD has made a conscious change to involve local farmers to a greater degree.

86 Vos reported that he has continued his involvement with the Agriculture Commission's Lower
87 Green Subcommittee meetings. The DDES ruling is to not remove the beaver dam and now is in
88 appeal. The beaver dam has been impeding drainage.

89 Wallace did not have a report.

90 Drew reported that he will present the second draft of his proposed forestry program next month.
91 Also, he proposed that King CD conduct a pilot restoration project using low impact machinery
92 in order to perform a cost analysis of volunteer time and the use of machinery.

93 Prinsen reported the he has been visiting farmers markets and found that half of the farmers are
94 not familiar with King CD. Prinsen added that perhaps this knowledge could be used in
95 development of an outreach project to spread the word of King CD's services.

96 Hemphill provided the Executive Director's report. There has no feedback as of yet from either
97 the County Executive or the County Council members on our July Program of Work submission.
98 Details on King CD's unrestricted reserve account were provided in the July submission.
99 Hemphill and Knutsen met with Bob Ferguson's staff recently. Hemphill reported that her time
100 in DC recently and her research shows that the possible development of a Local Conservation
101 Authority in our area is likely a multi-year process. Two of the three Advisory Committee
102 Subcommittees have met and the third will hopefully meet in the next couple weeks. Hemphill
103 and Knutsen met with NFWF representatives in mid-August to discuss 2011 projects and our
104 ongoing partnership and learned that NFWF's program is very flexible. Hemphill is continuing
105 to work with staff and the Versaire Group on the District's administration group reorganization.

106 Vos requested that there be discussion at the next meeting regarding the change of the
107 Administration and Budget Subcommittee to the Administration, Budget, and Policy
108 Subcommittee.

109 UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

110 LIP Policy Revision [AI 10-117]

111 Myers proposed that Landowner Incentive Program applications requesting over \$10,000 be
112 allowed to be included on the Consent Agenda for approval at board meetings and not
113 automatically under New Business. She passed out a memo from the King CD attorney
114 providing his comments regarding this potential policy change. Knutsen explained that the
115 board will continue to retain the authority to pull any LIP items off the Consent Agenda for
116 additional discussion.

117 **Wallace Moved; Prinsen Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve inclusion of**
118 **Landowner Incentive Program applications requesting over \$10,000 on the consent agenda**
119 **of Board of Supervisor meetings. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-117]**

120 MJ&WRIA Amendment – EarthCorps, Maple Creek Floodplain and Stream Channel Restoration
121 Project [AI 10-094]

122 Saavedra explained that EarthCorps is requesting an amendment to the grant in order to return
123 funds and decrease the scope of work. Vos added that this grant brings up policy considerations
124 pertaining to circumstances when a grantee is unable to complete an approved project. Su
125 Thieda from EarthCorps explained that during the design phase the subcontracted engineer found
126 that there was insufficient slope to prevent sediment deposition. EarthCorps discussed the issue
127 with a downstream landowner who has significant slope on his/her property and the landowner.
128 The downstream landowner began to make numerous requests regarding the details of the project
129 and soon it became apparent that the project would be able to be completed as planned and
130 would have to be postponed. Thieda added that the information gathered thus far is valuable for
131 a future project and it was shared with the landowners. Vos believes that consultation with the
132 King CD attorney would be appropriate before closing out this grant. Vos would prefer a return
133 of funding related to time and materials and he emphasized the need for open communications.

134 Vos added the need for a “good faith” policy and issuing stop orders to subcontractors when
135 appropriate. Drew believes that paying subcontractors at the end of projects may drive p costs
136 and also believes that a “good faith” policy would be appropriate. Thieda believes that King CD
137 did receive a product in that the information gathered and the 30% design can be used for a
138 future project. Knutsen appreciated that the project was stopped when it did and the returned
139 funds may be used for another project. Vos offered a motion to table a decision on this grant
140 close out until the King CD attorney can be consulted.

141 **Vos Moved; Drew Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve the amendment to**
142 **the Earth Corps Maple Creek Floodplain and Stream Channel Restoration project grant**
143 **agreement, revising the budget as detailed, decreasing the scope of work and returning**
144 **grant funds in the amount of \$63,000, after appropriate consultation with the King CD**
145 **attorney. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-094]**

146 King CD’s Attorney Utilization [AI 10-118]

147 Hemphill explained that utilization of time and expertise from the King CD attorney needs to be
148 tracked more closely. The proposal is for all requests to access the attorney be communicated
149 through Hemphill or Knutsen. Vos suggested that staff be required to request approval for
150 attorney access through the executive director and board members be required to communicate
151 attorney access with Hemphill and Knutsen. The board was in agreement. This item will be
152 brought forth on the Consent Agenda at the next meeting.

153 KCD LIP Application: Fox, Waste Storage Facility [AI 10-119]

154 This item was moved up in the agenda for discussion. Myers explained that there was
155 miscommunication with the landowner regarding the timing of reimbursements. The landowner
156 purchased project materials prior to the application’s approval which would make the purchases
157 ineligible for reimbursement. Myers is requesting that the purchased materials be eligible for
158 reimbursement. Vos requested that this item be added to the list of policy discussion items.

159 **Wallace Moved; Drew Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve the King**
160 **Conservation District Landowner Incentive Program cost-share application for \$1080 from**
161 **the 2010 budget for contract number 2010-24 (Fox, S). (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-119]**

162 New Server [AI 10-125] and Authorization to Enter into Contract with PacketDrivers for IT
163 Support [AI 10-137]

164 Hemphill explained that a new server was slated for last year but was cut from the 2010 budget.
165 With a new server, King CD would be able to support more GIS projects. The server warranty
166 has already been extended and is due to expire in January 2011. The current server is in its fifth
167 year of life and recommendations show a typical server life cycle of three to five years. If
168 approved, a new server would be in place by the end of 2010. The staff recommendation is
169 Option 1 of PacketDriver’s quotes.

170 **Prinsen Moved; Wallace Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve the**
171 **expenditure of \$30,000 for purchase of a new server. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-125]**

172 **Prinsen Moved; Wallace Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to authorize the**
173 **Executive Director to enter into a contract with PacketDrivers IT Outsourcing for District**
174 **information technology support and needs. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-137]**

175 Resolutions 10-004 & 10-005: Resolutions for WACD [AI 10-126 & AI 10-127]

176 Knutsen brought forward these resolutions to be approved by the board for submittal to the
177 Washington Association of Conservation Districts. The resolutions will then be brought forth at
178 the Northwest Area Meeting for consideration. Drew asked that the record show that he has
179 serious concerns regarding the possible negative effects on landowners by the widening and
180 lowering of Snoqualmie Falls and the NRCS planting project in the Snoqualmie Valley. He
181 explained that an area in May Valley over the last several decades has turned into a detention
182 pond where a surface water management program started. Flood waters have increased
183 significantly in the Snoqualmie Valley and residents are highly concerned about future effects.
184 Drew believes that Snoqualmie Valley residents' concerns need to be addressed immediately.

185 **Wallace Moved; Vos Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion approve Resolution 10-004,**
186 **pertaining to restoring NRCS funding for drainage maintenance, and forward to WACD**
187 **for further consideration. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-126]**

188 **Wallace Moved; Vos Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion approve Resolution 10-005,**
189 **pertaining to the NRCS planting project in the Snoqualmie Valley, and forward to WACD**
190 **for further consideration. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-127]**

191 MJ&WRIA Amendment – Seattle Public Utilities, 44th Avenue Floodplain Restoration [AI 10-
192 120]

193 Saavedra explained that the amendment is requesting a change in the scope of work. The grantee
194 proposes to not excavate after all and instead proposes to put in native plants and interpretive
195 signage. Originally the plan was to deconstruct the house, which is on Thornton Creek and the
196 property would serve as an oasis in an area that is predominately private property. Noble
197 elaborated that the state auditor is requiring more diversity of outsourced contractors and the
198 grant was predicated on using a lower cost contractor. The grantee updated the project budget
199 and cost breakdown to reflect the proposed changes in the scope of work and budget. So far
200 \$30,000 has been spent on the project. The cost of deconstructing the house and putting in
201 native plants and signage is estimated at \$107,000. The total project cost would be \$300,000
202 including \$60,000 for contingencies. Board concerns related to project costs, deconstruction of a
203 house in good condition, and whether the project is appropriate for King CD.

204 **Vos Moved; Drew Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve an amendment to**
205 **the Seattle Public Utilities 44th Avenue Floodplain Restoration project grant agreement,**

206 **revising the budget and decreasing the scope of work to include native plants from King**
207 **CD and not deconstructing the property's house. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-120]**

208 Knutsen called for a break at 10:11 pm and reconvened the meeting at 10:17 pm.

209 **MJ&WRIA Application – King County, 2011 Snoqualmie Project Implementation Assistance**
210 **Program [AI 10-121]**

211 The board offered to fund this grant at 75% while the cities in WRIA 7 would provide the
212 remaining 25%. The Grants Subcommittee is interested in opening up funding historically
213 granted for supporting administration to on the ground projects. Walker added that the
214 Snoqualmie Watershed Forum is committed to connecting with jurisdictions about contributing
215 to funding the Forum. Lizee-Smith added that the jurisdictions will not be able to contribute for
216 2011. Vos suggested that the position be funded for 2011 at 90%. Knutsen suggested that the
217 Forum develop a plan with funding options and communicate that with King CD and he
218 suggested that the Forum spend more time doing outreach to city councils.

219 **Prinsen Moved; Wallace Seconded, Passed a motion to approve the Snoqualmie Watershed**
220 **Forum grant application for \$110,000 from 2010 Collections for King County 2011**
221 **Snoqualmie Project Implementation Assistance Program, and for 2012 the Forum will**
222 **propose a more cost effective means of funding as well as will provide a list of projects that**
223 **the funding supports. (3 ayes, 2 nays = Drew + Vos) [AI 10-121]**

224 **MJ&WRIA Application – King County, 2011 Chinook Spawning Ground Surveys and**
225 **Outmigrant Trapping [AI 10-122]**

226 Saavedra explained that normally this request would be split into two applications. Berge
227 attended to answer any board questions. He explained that monitoring is essential and
228 expensive. The project includes 32% matching funds. 27% of the project costs would be
229 provided by King CD.

230 **Vos Moved; Wallace Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve the WRIA 8**
231 **grant application for \$314,335 from 2010 Collections for the King County 2011 Chinook**
232 **Spawning Ground Surveys and Outmigrant Trapping Project. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-122]**

233 **MJ&WRIA Application – King County, Issaquah Protection Fund [AI 10-123]**

234 The application involves the purchase of a conservation easement and involves a willing
235 landowner. Drew abstained from the vote.

236 **Vos Moved; Wallace Seconded, Passed a motion to approve the WRIA 8 grant application**
237 **for \$314,335 from 2010 Collections for the King County 2011 Chinook Spawning Ground**
238 **Surveys and Outmigrant Trapping Project. (4 ayes, 0 nays, 1 abstain = Vos) [AI 10-123]**

239 Opportunity Fund Application – City of Snoqualmie, Kimball Creek Watershed Pollution
240 Mitigation and Habitat Restoration or Clay Heilman / Nature Vision, 2010-11 Snoqualmie
241 Valley Watershed Education and Stewardship Project [AI 10-136]

242 Prinsen explained that in previous years King CD has funding Nature Vision on a tier 2 level and
243 this year it was ranked at tier 3 so it fell out of the rankings. Due to limited funding the board is
244 posed with making a decision to fund one of the two proposed projects.

245 **Vos Moved; Wallace Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve the KCD-**
246 **Snowqualmie Watershed Forum Opportunity Fund grant application for \$15,000 (\$7,500**
247 **from the 2010 KCD general operating budget and \$7,500 from 2010 special assessments**
248 **allocated to the WRIA 7 Watershed Forum) awarded to the City of Snoqualmie Kimball**
249 **Creek Watershed Pollution Mitigation and Habitat Restoration Project. (5 ayes, 0 nays)**
250 **[AI 10-136]**

251 Posting the County’s small work projects to our website

252 Knutsen asked the board if there would any issue with posting King County’s small work
253 projects going out to bid. The board had no concerns with doing so.

254 Engineering Contract [AI 10-138]

255 Hemphill explained that the need for the project designs is immediate. Four landowners are
256 involved. The project will be advertised for public bid.

257 **Wallace Moved; Drew Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to authorize the Executive**
258 **Director to sign a contract amendment with URS for design services in the amount of**
259 **\$18,000 pending the outcome of a published engineering RFQ (Request for Qualifications)**
260 **notice. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-138]**

261 Native Plant Policy

262 Vos added this discussion item for the appropriate board subcommittee to examine what defines
263 a plant as native. If the definition is a plant successfully grown in the northwest for a period time
264 then perhaps the redwoods could be utilized. Reed explained that in botany or ecology, the
265 definition of a native plant is a species that is endemic to an area so the geographic area for a
266 native plant in our area would be narrower than the northwest as a whole. She further explained
267 that King CD utilizes species that most likely occur naturally at the project site. Redwoods are
268 not native to Western Washington because the conditions are not conducive for seed
269 germination. Knutsen asked that this discussion item be sent to the Program Development,
270 Science, and Engineering Subcommittee.

271 Executive Session

272 Executive Session began at 11:50 pm and focused on legal matters (RCW 42.30.110(1)(i)) and
273 personnel matters (RCW 42.30.110(1)(g)). Executive Session ended at 12:40 am. There was no
274 action after Executive Session.

275 Knutsen adjourned the meeting at 12:41 am.

276

277

278

279 _____
Authorized Signature

_____ Date

280

281

282

Summary of Motions

283 **Prinsen Moved; Wallace Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve the meeting**
284 **agenda. (5 ayes, 0 nays)**

285 **Wallace Moved; Prinsen Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve the consent**
286 **agenda. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [Board Minutes 06-14-10, Board Minutes 08-09-10, Member**
287 **Jurisdiction and WRIA Grants AI 10-096 – AI 10-103, KCD LIP Applications AI 10-104 –**
288 **AI 10-116, Opportunity Fund Grant Applications AI 10-128 – AI 10-130 and AI 10-133 –**
289 **AI 10-135, and AI 10-095: ratification of King Conservation District Checks 13245 - 13304**
290 **in totaling \$556,323.33.]**

291 **Vos Moved; Prinsen Seconded, Passed a motion to approve the KCD-Snoqualmie**
292 **Watershed Forum Opportunity Fund grant application for \$30,000 (\$15,000 from the 2010**
293 **KCD general operating budget and \$15,000 from 2010 special assessments allocated to the**
294 **WRIA 7 Watershed Forum) awarded to the Wild Fish Conservancy for the Weiss Creek**
295 **Barrier Removal Project. (4 ayes, 1 nay = Drew) [AI 10-131]**

296 **Drew Moved; Prinsen Seconded, Passed a motion to approve the KCD-Snoqualmie**
297 **Watershed Forum Opportunity Fund grant application for \$100,000 (\$50,000 from the**
298 **2010 KCD general operating budget and \$50,000 from 2010 special assessments allocated**
299 **to the WRIA 7 Watershed Forum) awarded to the Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust**
300 **project titled Raging River Enhancement at 3306 SE 126th Street. (4 ayes, 1 abstain = Vos)**
301 **[AI 10-132]**

302 **Prinsen Moved; Wallace Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve the WRIA 8**
303 **grant application for \$27,800 from 2010 Collections for the Friends of the Cedar River**
304 **Watershed 2011 Cedar River Salmon Journey project. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-124]**

305 **Wallace Moved; Prinsen Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve inclusion of**
306 **Landowner Incentive Program applications requesting over \$10,000 on the consent agenda**
307 **of Board of Supervisor meetings. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-117]**

308 **Vos Moved; Drew Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve the amendment to**
309 **the Earth Corps Maple Creek Floodplain and Stream Channel Restoration project grant**
310 **agreement, revising the budget as detailed, decreasing the scope of work and returning**
311 **grant funds in the amount of \$63,000, after appropriate consultation with the King CD**
312 **attorney. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-094]**

313 **Wallace Moved; Drew Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve the King**
314 **Conservation District Landowner Incentive Program cost-share application for \$1080 from**
315 **the 2010 budget for contract number 2010-24 (Fox, S). (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-119]**

316 **Prinsen Moved; Wallace Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve the**
317 **expenditure of \$30,000 for purchase of a new server. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-125]**

318 **Prinsen Moved; Wallace Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to authorize the**
319 **Executive Director to enter into a contract with PacketDrivers IT Outsourcing for District**
320 **information technology support and needs. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-137]**

321 **Wallace Moved; Vos Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion approve Resolution 10-004,**
322 **pertaining to restoring NRCS funding for drainage maintenance, and forward to WACD**
323 **for further consideration. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-126]**

324 **Wallace Moved; Vos Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion approve Resolution 10-005,**
325 **pertaining to the NRCS planting project in the Snoqualmie Valley, and forward to WACD**
326 **for further consideration. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-127]**

327 **Vos Moved; Drew Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve an amendment to**
328 **the Seattle Public Utilities 44th Avenue Floodplain Restoration project grant agreement,**
329 **revising the budget and decreasing the scope of work to include native plants from King**
330 **CD and not deconstructing the property's house. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-120]**

331 **Prinsen Moved; Wallace Seconded, Passed a motion to approve the Snoqualmie Watershed**
332 **Forum grant application for \$110,000 from 2010 Collections for King County 2011**
333 **Snoqualmie Project Implementation Assistance Program, and for 2012 the Forum will**
334 **propose a more cost effective means of funding as well as will provide a list of projects that**
335 **the funding supports. (3 ayes, 2 nays = Drew + Vos) [AI 10-121]**

336 **Vos Moved; Wallace Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve the WRIA 8**
337 **grant application for \$314,335 from 2010 Collections for the King County 2011 Chinook**
338 **Spawning Ground Surveys and Outmigrant Trapping Project. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-122]**

339 **Vos Moved; Wallace Seconded, Passed a motion to approve the WRIA 8 grant application**
340 **for \$314,335 from 2010 Collections for the King County 2011 Chinook Spawning Ground**
341 **Surveys and Outmigrant Trapping Project. (4 ayes, 0 nays, 1 abstain = Vos) [AI 10-123]**

342 **Vos Moved; Wallace Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to approve the KCD-**
343 **Snowqualmie Watershed Forum Opportunity Fund grant application for \$15,000 (\$7,500**
344 **from the 2010 KCD general operating budget and \$7,500 from 2010 special assessments**
345 **allocated to the WRIA 7 Watershed Forum) awarded to the City of Snoqualmie Kimball**
346 **Creek Watershed Pollution Mitigation and Habitat Restoration Project. (5 ayes, 0 nays)**
347 **[AI 10-136]**

348 **Wallace Moved; Drew Seconded, Passed unanimously a motion to authorize the Executive**
349 **Director to sign a contract amendment with URS for design services in the amount of**
350 **\$18,000 pending the outcome of a published engineering RFQ (Request for Qualifications)**
351 **notice. (5 ayes, 0 nays) [AI 10-138]**

352